UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE         |            | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|-------------------------------------|------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/529,004                          | 03/24/2005 | Yasuo Nishi          | KOY-0047            | 8791             |  |
| 23413<br>CANTOR COI<br>55 GRIFFIN R |            |                      | EXAMINER            |                  |  |
|                                     |            |                      | BOECKMANN, JASON J  |                  |  |
| BLOOMFIELL                          |            |                      | ART UNIT            | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
|                                     |            |                      | 3752                |                  |  |
|                                     |            |                      |                     |                  |  |
|                                     |            |                      | MAIL DATE           | DELIVERY MODE    |  |
|                                     |            | •                    | 07/18/2007          | PAPER            |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|   |  |  |  | T  |               |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary                                       |  | Application No.  |  | Applicant(s)   |               |  |  |  |
|   |  | 10/529,00  |  | NISHI ET AL.   |               |  |  |  |
|   |  | Examiner   |  | Art Unit   |               |  |  |  |
|   |  |  | Boeckmann  | 3752   |               |  |  |  |
| Period fo   | The MAILING DATE of this communication<br>or Reply   | appears on the   | cover sheet with th  | e correspondence a   | nddress       |  |  |  |
| WHIC<br>- Exter<br>after<br>- If NO<br>- Failu<br>Any       | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RECHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by seply received by the Office later than three months after the need patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | G DATE OF TH<br>R 1.136(a). In no even<br>n.<br>eriod will apply and witatute, cause the app | HIS COMMUNICATI<br>ent, however, may a reply be<br>Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS for<br>lication to become ABANDO | ON. te timely filed from the mailing date of this the control of t |               |  |  |  |
| Status  |  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 1\⊠   | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 0  | 10 May 2007  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| ' ==  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  | on-final   |  |               |  |  |  |
| '=  | , <del></del>  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| ٧,١   | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| Disnositi   | on of Claims   | .o   | ay.o, 1000 0.2. 11,  | 100 0.0.210.   |               |  |  |  |
| ·   |  | 41   |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | Claim(s) 1,3-8 and 13-15 is/are pending in the application.  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>8</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | ) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5 and 13-15</u> is/are rejected.   |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| ·   | 7) Claim(s) 6 and 7 is/are objected to.  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 8)[_  | Claim(s) are subject to restriction a  | na/or election r   | equirement.  |  |               |  |  |  |
| Applicati   | on Papers  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 9)[   | The specification is objected to by the Exar   | miner.   |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| 10)⊠  | 10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 March 2005</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.   |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | Applicant may not request that any objection to  | the drawing(s) b   | e held in abeyance.  | See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  |               |  |  |  |
|   | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co  | rrection is requir   | ed if the drawing(s) is  | objected to. See 37  | CFR 1.121(d). |  |  |  |
| 11)   | The oath or declaration is objected to by the  | e Examiner. No   | ote the attached Off   | ice Action or form F   | PTO-152.      |  |  |  |
| Priority (  | under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for  | eign priority un   | der 35 U.S.C. § 119  | 9(a)-(d) or (f).   |               |  |  |  |
| a)  | ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No   |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | application from the International Bu  | •  | • • • •  | •  |               |  |  |  |
| * (   | See the attached detailed Office action for a  | list of the certi  | fied copies not rece   | eived.   |               |  |  |  |
|   | •  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
| Attachmen   | t(s)   |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | te of References Cited (PTO-892)   |  | 4) Interview Summ  | nary (PTO-413)   |               |  |  |  |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) |  |  | Paper No(s)/Mail Date  |  |               |  |  |  |
|   | mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)   |  | 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:  | al Patent Application  |               |  |  |  |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) LI Other:                          |  |  |  |  |               |  |  |  |

Application/Control Number: 10/529,004

Art Unit: 3752

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3-5 and 13-15 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 and, 15 and 16 of copending Application No. 10/529,006.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1, 3 and 13-15 are contain all aspects of claims 1, 15 and 16 of copending application No. 10/529,006, word for word, except for having an inside passage length of the nozzle being at least not les than 50 times the inside diameter of the nozzle at the nozzle edge portion. However, It is well known in the art that changing the length of the nozzle with respect to the inside diameter of the nozzle

Art Unit: 3752

will effect the characteristics of the spray emitted form the nozzle, making the nozzle diameter and the length of the nozzle both results effective variables. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to make the inside passage length of the nozzle now less than 100 times the inside diameter of the nozzle at the nozzle edge portion, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a results effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

Claims 4 and 5 are contain all aspects of claim 1 of copending application No. 10/529,006, word for word, except for having the wall thickness at the nozzle edge portion being set to no more than a length of the inside diameter of the nozzle at the edge portion. However, It is well known in the art that changing the inside diameter of the nozzle and consequently the wall thickness of the nozzle will effect the characteristics of the spray emitted form the nozzle, making the wall thickness and the inside diameter of the nozzle both results effective variables. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the applicant's invention to make the wall thickness of the nozzle at the edge portion of the nozzle no more than a length equal to the inside diameter of the nozzle at the edge portion, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a results effective variable involves only routine skill in the art.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 6 and 7 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 3-5 and 13-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 10/529,004

Art Unit: 3752

Page 5

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason J. Boeckmann whose telephone number is (571) 272-2708. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 - 5:00 m-f, first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin P. Shaver can be reached on (571) 272-4720. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JJB JJB 7/1407

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700